Guests Services
Located at the Reception Desk
(813) 828-1590

Messages
Phone number for guest messaging:
(813) 828-1590 / DSN 968-1590
Restrooms

Public restrooms are located in the center of the facility on first and second floors.

New mothers ... visit Reception Desk.
Water Fountains

Water fountains with bottle filling stations are located near restrooms

First & Second Floors
Tech Center

Phones, laptops, internet, and cell phone charging station

Systems are “For Official Use Only”
Vending Machines

Vending machines and Starbucks coffee kiosk available on first floor

Located next to Tech Center
Designated Smoking Area

Smoking Area is located near Tech Center exit (Northside of Bldg)

No tobacco use on terrace or walkways, nor at any entrance
Food & Beverage Policy

No Food or Beverages in Meeting Rooms

Bottled water only in meeting rooms
First Aid

AED and First Aid Kit located at Reception Desk on first floor

Call 9-1-1 for an emergency
Fire Emergency

• Dial 9-1-1 or pull alarm
• Evacuate the building
• Assemble in parking lot
• Wait for “All Clear”

*Identify if you require assistance*
Building Lockdown

- Stay inside meeting room
- Remain quiet & silence phones
- Move away from doors/windows

*Remain in place until “All Clear”*
Tornado Warning

• Shelter-in-place, when directed
• Protect yourself from flying debris
• Stay away from outside windows

Stay in place until “All Clear” is given
Active Shooter

• Attempt to evacuate the premises
• If not possible, find a place to hide
• As a last resort, attempt to disrupt or incapacitate the active shooter

Call 911 when it is safe to do so!
Asking Questions

• Short Q&A after each session
• Help us Moderate/Stay on Schedule
• Index Cards
• Passed & Asked
• Open Q&A at the end of the day
We ask that audio or video isn’t recorded

- The briefing will be made available our public website after today
- Future streaming opportunities
At this time, please place all cell phones on vibrate or silence.

Thank-you!
## Industry Day Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Briefer(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0800-0830</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Ms. Lisa Artz &amp; Ms. Lidia Cabello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0830-0845</td>
<td>Welcome &amp; Introductions</td>
<td>Mr. Dan Hawley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0845-0945</td>
<td>Senior Leadership Address</td>
<td>Mr. Kim Herrington &amp; Mr. Jorge Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0945-1000</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-1045</td>
<td>Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) Group - CIG's Supporting Role</td>
<td>Mr. John Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045-1130</td>
<td>Engineering Perspectives on Commerciality</td>
<td>Ms. Pam Logan &amp; Mr. Scott Pace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130-1300</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300-1345</td>
<td>Streamlined Acquisition Process</td>
<td>Ms. Lisa Artz &amp; Ms. Megan Steele-Holdaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1345-1430</td>
<td>Challenges &amp; Brainstorming</td>
<td>Mr. Ryan Connell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1445</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445-1600</td>
<td>Q&amp;A Session - CIG Leadership Panel</td>
<td>Mr. Dan Hawley &amp; CIG Regional Directors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Legal Disclaimer

• This brief is intended to convey information that is publicly available in Federal Acquisition Regulations and DCMA Instructions. Nothing said or presented during this brief should be construed as legal advice or a commitment by the Government.

• This brief is intended to collaborate and increase communication. The slides and discussion are not official Agency Policy, or policy of the Department or USG.
One team, one voice delivering global acquisition insight.
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CIG Overview

• Who is the CIG, Why we were established
• Services Provided:
  • Commercial Item Determinations
  • Price Analysis
  • Negotiation Support
  • Strategic Market Research
  • Commercial Acquisition Training
• Key stakeholders

**MISSION** "Provide acquisition insight for the integration of commercial products and services within DoD to streamline procurements and ensure warfighters receive cutting-edge technology at fair and reasonable prices."

**VISION** "To advance defense acquisition strategies by leveraging commercial technology resulting in American warfighter superiority.”
One team, one voice delivering global acquisition insight.
Why are we here?

- Help streamline the acquisition process (speed of relevance)
- Leverage new innovative technology
- Increase the industrial supply base
- Increase communication with industry partners
- Identify improvements in the acquisition process
- Encourage ideas and innovative thinking
- We can’t streamline acquisitions without industry
- What do you want to be communicated?
CIG Initiatives

- Assist DPC with standing up the DoD wide Commercial Item Database
- Provide targeted commercial acquisition training to buying commands
- Interface with Industry identifying commercial acquisition successes & challenges
- Transition away from individual commercial Memorandum of Agreements to a standardized review process
- Evaluate potential opportunities to receive/issue commercial item determinations ahead of an acquisition requirement
# Today’s Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Briefer(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0800-0830</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Ms. Lisa Artz &amp; Ms. Lidia Cabello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0830-0845</td>
<td>Welcome &amp; Introductions</td>
<td>Mr. Dan Hawley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0845-0945</td>
<td>Senior Leadership Address</td>
<td>Mr. Kim Herrington &amp; Mr. Jorge Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0945-1000</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-1045</td>
<td>Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) Group - CIG’s Supporting Role</td>
<td>Mr. John Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045-1130</td>
<td>Engineering Perspectives on Commerciality</td>
<td>Ms. Pam Logan &amp; Mr. Scott Pace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130-1300</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300-1345</td>
<td>Streamlined Acquisition Process</td>
<td>Ms. Lisa Artz &amp; Ms. Megan Steele-Holdaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1345-1430</td>
<td>Challenges &amp; Brainstorming</td>
<td>Mr. Ryan Connell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1445</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445-1600</td>
<td>Q&amp;A Session - CIG Leadership Panel</td>
<td>Mr. Dan Hawley &amp; CIG Regional Directors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cost and Pricing Regional Command Overview

Cost and Pricing Regional Command

Executive Director
Bennett, Jorge
Arlington Heights, IL

Deputy Executive Director
Sheridan, Mary
Fort Lee, VA

Executive Assistant
Thielbar, Les
Arlington Heights, IL

General Counsel
VACANT

Tools and Analysis Group
Patricia Lecture
Arlington Heights, IL

Pricing Group
Mark Jones
Joint Base Lewis McCord, WA

Commercial Item Group
Dan Hawley
Denver, CO

Mission Support Group
Brenda Goodrich
Fort Lee, VA

CACO/DACO Division
Tom Forbush
Hanscom AFB, MA

Specialty Pricing Group
Robert Corso
Hanscom AFB, MA
One team, one voice delivering global acquisition insight.

CIG Determination Output

Recommendations (Count of Parts & Services)
- Parts: 9343 recommended commercial, 213 recommended non-commercial (98%)
- Services: 148 recommended commercial, 41 recommended non-commercial (78%)

Determinations (Count of Parts & Services ITD)
- Parts: 1010 determined commercial, 238 determined non-commercial (81%)
- Services: 124 determined commercial, 81 determined non-commercial (60%)

Legacy Commercial Recommendation Output
- 9,556 parts reviewed, 9343 recommended commercial (98%)
- 189 services reviewed, 148 recommended commercial (78%)

Legacy Commercial Determination Output
- 1248 parts reviewed, 1010 determined commercial (81%)
- 205 services reviewed, 124 determined commercial (60%)

JUN 2016 – DEC 2018

JAN 2019 – Present
QUESTIONS?
DCMA CIG Industry Day

Mr. Kim Herrington
Acting Principal Director, Defense Pricing and Contracting
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment)

February 20, 2020
Defense Pricing and Contracting

**Who**
- Military Departments / Defense Agencies / Combatant Commands
- Congress & Interagency
- International Partners
- Industry
- Educational Institutions & Think Tanks

**How**
- **Policy**
- **Regulation**
  - FAR, DFARS, PGI
- **Processes**
- **eBusiness Standards, Capabilities, and Data**

**Why**
- **Meet National Defense Strategy (NDS) objectives**
- **Support & provide guidance to DoD's 30,000 contracting professionals who obligate over $300 billion annually to bring world-class capability to the warfighter**

**2020 Focus**
- Innovate and improve acquisition policies
- Enhance currency and efficiency of contracting regulations
- Improve eBusiness systems
- Analyze the effectiveness of current financial methods through Contract Financing Study
- Workforce outreach

**OUUSD (A&S) Priority #1.**
Enable innovative acquisition approaches that deliver warfighting capability at the speed of relevance:
- Translate statute into streamlined acquisition policy and business processes that keep pace with technology
  - Access emerging technology through Other Transactions

Enable contracting at the speed of relevance
- Efficient contracting for all contracting lanes and major programs

https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/
The Need for Speed and Innovation

- Speed of relevance
- Unresponsive processes
- Overly risk averse thinking
- Business innovation

Definition of Insanity – Corollary

“WE CANNOT SOLVE OUR PROBLEMS WITH THE SAME THINKING WE USED WHEN WE CREATED THEM”

Albert Einstein
Go faster but not at the expense of a good deal
A caution regarding commerciality

- Congressional reaction
- Company interaction
- Cadre of pricing experts
- Supplier pricing focus

Source: https://media.defense.gov/2019/Feb/27/2002093709/-1/-1/1/DODIG-2019-060.PDF
Sec 803 – Failure to provide other than certified cost or pricing data

» Contracting officers should not determine price reasonableness solely based on historical prices paid by the government

» If an offeror fails to make a good faith effort to comply with reasonable supporting data requests to determine price reasonableness they are ineligible for award unless the HCA determines it to be in the best interest of the government to make the award

» HCA determinations to be reported to DPC

» DPC to produce an annual report identifying offerors who have denied multiple requests to provide data/information
FY20 NDAA provisions

- Sec 804 – Comptroller General report on price reasonableness
  - GAO to provide a report NLT March 2021 that:
    - Examines certified cost or pricing data waivers for sole source spare parts
    - Examines exceptions (commerciality) to CCPD for the same
    - Examines contracts awarded where companies denied providing data to support price reasonableness
  - Actions taken by DoD regarding data not being provided
Industry Questions

- What are your best practices for evaluating supplier cost/prices? (Larger and smaller items)

- How do you work with Government teams on this issue?

- How do you mine purchase order history to support these efforts?

- Given “big data” efforts, what is being done to evaluate spare part price changes, supplier pricing changes, etc.
Questions?
Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) Group

Presented By:
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February 20, 2020
Mission
Ensure that suppliers’ have purchasing systems in place that contribute to effective subcontract management. Effective subcontract management includes development of, as well as performance to, internal policy and procedures, public laws and adequacy of price analysis performed on subcontractors.

Personnel
Four regional hub locations in Boston, Atlanta, Dallas, and Phoenix. 9-10 Analysts per team. CPSR conducts on average 125 reviews a year.

Functions
• Evaluation of efficiency and effectiveness with which the contractor spends Government funds and complies with Government policy when subcontracting
• Complete evaluation of a contractor’s purchasing of material from services, subcontracting, and subcontract management from development of the requirement through completion of the subcontract performance
• Report findings to Contracting Officer providing a basis for granting, withholding, or withdrawing approval of the purchasing system
• Review corrective action plan for effectiveness and validate implementation
The CPSR Group provides functional specialist support to the DCMA Contract Management Office in the form of a contractor purchasing system review and subsequent written report which, under the direction of FAR 42.302 and FAR 44.301, provides the ACO a basis for granting, withholding, or withdrawing approval of the contractor's purchasing system.

- FAR part 44 – Subcontracting Policies & Procedures
- FAR 52.244-2 (Alternate I when applicable) – Subcontracts
- DFARS part 244 – Subcontracting Policies & Procedures
- DFARS 252.244-7001 - Contractor Purchasing System
- DFARS 252.242-7005 - Contractor Business Systems (Withholds)
• FAR 44.302(a) - The CO shall determine the need for a CPSR based on, but not limited to, the past performance of the contractor, and the volume, complexity and dollar value of subcontracts

• If a contractor’s sales to the Government (excluding competitively awarded firm-fixed-price and competitively awarded fixed-price with economic price adjustment contracts and sales of commercial items pursuant to FAR Part 12) are expected to exceed $50 million during the next 12 months, the CO shall perform a review to determine if a CPSR is needed

• Sales include those represented by government prime contracts, subcontracts under government prime contracts, and modifications
CPSR and the CIG

- The Commercial Item Group (CIG) and CPSR working together
  - The mandate for the use of commercial items in Government procurements to the maximum extent is included in FAR 1.102(b)(1)(i). Contractors incorporating commercial items and/or services in FAR part 15 acquisitions should have sound acquisition strategies for determining if a Government requirement can be satisfied commercially and document the commerciality of the subcontract items and/or services.
  - The objective of a commercial item determination is to ensure that the item and/or service meets the definition in FAR 2.101. The contractor's completion of commercial item determinations is prescribed in DFARS 244.402(a), and purchasing system reviews are required to determine the adequacy of commercial item rationale by DFARS 244.303(a).
  - Prime contracts that include FAR 52.244-6, Subcontracts for Commercial Items, require contractors to incorporate, and to require subcontractors at all tiers to incorporate, commercial items to the maximum extent practicable and to include the clauses and terms in FAR 52.244-6(c) and (d) in subcontracts. The clause at DFARS 252.244-7000, Subcontracts for Commercial Items, requires the contractor to include this clause, and the terms of this clause, in subcontracts.
CPSR On-site Activities

- Entrance briefing with contractor and government personnel
- Physical review based on the 30 CPSR report elements
- CPSR Analysts conduct daily briefs to keep the contractor and ACO informed of arising concerns or issues
- Analyst questions are formally submitted to the contractor in writing and tracked on a question log which is provided at end of review
- Pre- Exit briefing conducted to present contractor with preliminary findings (compilation of the daily briefs)
- Formal Exit briefing conducted to provide the contractor and ACO with preliminary findings
• Analyze data and develop statistics

• Prepare a report addressing the contractor’s policy and practice for each of the review elements

• Report released to ACO within 30 days of exit briefing

• When non-material deficiencies are identified, the procurement analyst issues a Level II CAR and follows through to closure

• When material deficiencies are identified, the procurement analyst prepares a draft level III CAR and the contractor provides a response to the ACO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPSR REPORT MATRIX (Elements 1-15)</th>
<th>DFARS 252.244-7001 Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Policies and Procedures Manual</td>
<td>(c) (1), (17), (19), (22) and (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Truthful Cost or Pricing Data (Truth-In-Negotiations Act (TINA))</td>
<td>(c) (10), (16) and (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cost Accounting Standards (CAS)</td>
<td>(c) (2) and (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Prior Consent and Advance Notification</td>
<td>(c) (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Small Business Subcontracting Plans</td>
<td>(c) (2) and (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Protecting the Government’s Interest when Subcontracting with Contractors Debarred, Suspended, or Proposed for Debarment</td>
<td>(c) (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Limitation on Use of Appropriated Funds to Influence Certain Federal Contracting and Financial Transactions (Anti-Lobbying)</td>
<td>(c) (2) and (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Defense Priorities and Allocation System (DPAS) Rating</td>
<td>(c) (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) of 2006</td>
<td>(c) (2) and (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Quality Management and Counterfeit Parts Detection and Avoidance Systems</td>
<td>(c) (19) and (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Price Analysis</td>
<td>(c) (8), (9), (10), (16) and (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Source Selection</td>
<td>(c) (4), (5), (7), (8), (9), (10), (20), and (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Negotiations</td>
<td>(c) (11) and (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Make-or-Buy Program</td>
<td>(c) (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Limitations on Pass-through Charges</td>
<td>(c) (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCPSR Report PSR REPORT MATRIX (Elements 16-30)</td>
<td>DFARS 252.244-7001 Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Documentation</td>
<td>(c) (4), (5), and (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Training</td>
<td>(c) (17) and (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Internal Review/Self-Audit</td>
<td>(c) (17) and (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Mandatory FAR/DFARS Flow Down Requirements/Terms and Conditions</td>
<td>(c) (2), (16) and (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Purchase Requisition Process</td>
<td>(c) (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Commercial Item Determination</td>
<td>(c) (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Subcontract Types</td>
<td>(c) (13) and (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Procurement Authority</td>
<td>(c) (3) and (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Supply Chain Management Process</td>
<td>(c) (12), (14), (20) and (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Buy American and Berry Amendment</td>
<td>(c) (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Restrictions on the Acquisition of Specialty Metals/Articles containing Specialty Metals</td>
<td>(c) (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Subcontractor/Vendor Closeout Process</td>
<td>(c) (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Long Term Purchasing Arrangements</td>
<td>(c) (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Handling Change Orders and Modifications</td>
<td>(c) (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Intra/Inter-Company, Affiliate, or Subsidiary Transactions</td>
<td>(c) (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Price Analysis (44/119) (55/103)*
2. Sole Source Selection Justification (30/119) (40/103)
3. FFATA (29/119) (42/103)
4. Debarment (17/119) (44/103)
5. DPAS Rating (17/119) (37/103)
6. Limitation on use of Appropriated Funds (13/119) (31/103)
7. Commercial Item Determination (12/119) (31/103)
8. Negotiations (10/119) (15/103)
9. Truthful Cost and Pricing Data (TINA) (9/119) (18/103)

* Red signifies FY18 Stats
CPSR Guidebook

- Outlines the whole CPSR process
- Job Aids provide an explanation of all report elements
- Addresses both policy and practice
- Updated by “Champions” as requirements change
- Reviewed by the Agency’s Policy and Legal Groups
- Found at https://www.dcma.mil/ under the Customer & Industry Access section
Questions?
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Commercial Item Packages
• DCMA CIG supports commercial acquisition ...and so do the CIG engineers!

• The commercial item package is the foundation of a CID case

• It’s important to get it right the first time

So... what does a good commercial item package look like?
Great Question! A good commercial item package:

- Connects the dots
- Tells the whole story
- Educates the government
More specifically, a good commercial item package:

- Provides a description of the proposed item’s or service’s function
- Provides technical information for the specific proposed item or service
- Provides a clear comparison of the differences between a proposed item and an “of a type” item

Commerciality is defined in terms of items and services, not product families, production lines or facilities.
A good commercial item package:

- Defines the marketplace of the proposed item/service

- Provides evidence of commercial sales of the proposed item, or draws a comparison between the proposed item and one or more items sold commercially
Recommendations for Industry:

• Get technical input when building a commercial item package

• Familiarize yourself with the DCMA CIG work products such as the Commercial and Technical Analysis Report (CTAR), Commercial Price Report (CPR), and Commercial Item Determination (CID):

• Commercial and Technical Analysis Report (CTAR) contents:
  • Executive Summary
  • Case Information
  • References
  • Contractor’s Commercial Item Assertion Package
  • Statement of Adequacy of Contractor’s Submission (Proposal Adequacy Checklist)
  • DCMA Technical Analysis
  • Market Research
  • Commercial Item Recommendation

Templates viewable at: https://www.dcma.mil/Commercial-Item-Group/ under “Additional Resources
Familiarize yourself with DFARS 252.215-7009 Proposal Adequacy Checklist, items 18 a – c

a. Has the offeror specifically identified the type of commercial item claim (FAR 2.101 commercial item definition, paragraphs (1) through (8)), and the **basis** on which the item meets the definition?

b. For modified commercial items... did the offeror classify the modification(s) as either—
   i. A modification of a type customarily available in the commercial marketplace; or
   ii. A minor modification of a type not customarily available in the commercial marketplace made to meet Federal Government requirements

c. For proposed commercial items “of a type,” did the contractor provide a technical description of the differences between the proposed item and the comparison item(s)?

Be explicit with the assertion! Identify the FAR 2.101 commercial item definition and sub-definition
DCMA engineering top challenges with submitted Commercial Item Packages

1. Not providing information for the specific item or service
2. Not providing an explicit assertion
3. Not explaining modifications
4. Not providing evidence of commercial sales
5. Not demonstrating market research
What’s Commercial (and Why)
Segmented Circumferential Seal case facts:

- Commercial Item Package included:
  - Explicit commercial item assertion
  - Prime and Sub P/N
  - Description of the part function
  - Product line brochure, listing commercially available modifications
  - Specific part drawing, and drawing for the “of a type” comparison item
  - Description of the modifications (size, housing material and ring quantity)
  - Commercial invoices for the comparable commercial item
  - Commercial Catalog listing for the “of a type”
  - Marketplace information

Is this Item commercial?

Yes, per FAR 2.101 3(i) – modifications of a type customarily available in the commercial marketplace
Electric Pallet Truck case facts:

- Commercial Item Package included:
  - Explicit commercial item assertion
  - Prime P/N
  - Product line brochure
  - Description of the modifications (color, label)

Is this Item commercial?

Yes, per FAR 2.101 3(ii) – minor modifications of a type not customarily available in the commercial marketplace
Flight Data Recorder installation case facts:

- Commercial Item Package included:
  - Explicit commercial item assertion
  - Prime P/N, Sub P/N, NSN
  - The previously issued CID
  - Description of the services
  - Commercial invoices and description for a similar service

Is this Service commercial?

Yes, per FAR 2.101 5 – Installation services in support of an item referred to in paragraphs 1, 2, 3 or 4
Your Perfect Commercial Item Package

A primer for primes acquiring products and services from suppliers
Commerciality and Risk

**Strong package**

- Engineer’s report concurs with prime determination
- Risk to government is low
- KO accepts risk
- KO issues CID

**Weak package**

- Engineer must do extensive independent research
- Engineer’s report non-concurs with prime assertion or has major caveats
- KO unwilling to accept risk
- KO does not issue CID
FIVE WAYS TO STRENGTHEN YOUR SUBMITTAL

(Assuming that the product or service really is commercial)
What to Include

1. Provide information for the **specific** product or service

**PRODUCTS**
Required: State the entire part number, and enough technical information about the product to show that it meets the requirements of the solicitation.

Not required, but helpful: drawings, photos, detailed specifications

**SERVICES**
Required: Provide a statement of scope of the services and all applicable terms & conditions

Not required, but helpful: Provide an example of a supplier contract for similar/same services sold to a non-government customer, and make a side-by-side comparison
2. Explicitly assert which FAR 2.101 “commercial item” definition applies

Required: Choose a definition, and state it.

Note that “Definition 1” is not enough, you need to assert 1(i) or 1(ii)

Same for “Definition 3”: you need to assert 3(i) or 3(ii).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAR Definition 1 language</th>
<th>How to support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>of a type...</td>
<td>What functions or characteristics define this “type”?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>customarily used by the general public or by non-governmental entities...</td>
<td>Identify some typical non-governmental users of this product type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for purposes other than governmental purposes</td>
<td>Identify the end use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Has been sold, leased, or licensed...</td>
<td>Actual invoices, leases, or licenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...to the general public</td>
<td>State the identity of the buyer, or state the source of their funds, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Has been offered for sale, lease, or license</td>
<td>Actual offer consistent with the definition in FAR 2.101.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Offer” means a response to a solicitation that, if accepted, would bind the offeror to perform the resultant contract. (FAR 2.101)

Related terms: “bids,” “sealed bids,” “proposals”

NOT AN OFFER:
• Brochure
• Website advertisement
• Catalog, especially if it lacks prices or is marked “proprietary”

However, some KO’s will take the above into consideration if risk is otherwise low
### 3. Show and explain differences

**Example: Ruggedized Hard Drive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT HARD DRIVE, PN XX-1B</th>
<th>COMPARISON COMMERCIAL HARD DRIVE, PN XX-1A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity</strong></td>
<td>4 terabyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 terabyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Different</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ruggedization</strong></td>
<td>Protected shell, stabilized interior using epoxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protected shell, stabilized interior using epoxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Same</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drive Speed</strong></td>
<td>10,000 RPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000 RPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Same</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interface</strong></td>
<td>USB 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USB 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Same</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processor</strong></td>
<td>3rd Generation Intel® Core™ i7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9th generation Intel® Core™ i7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Different</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cache Memory</strong></td>
<td>2GB DDR3 SDRAM with ECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4GB DDR3L SDRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Different</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Useful facts to point out:

Ruggedized hard drives are commonly used construction and oil & gas industries, and are not unique to the government.

For compatibility with software already in use, the government requires:

- 3rd Gen. Intel Core i7
- 2GB DDR3 SDRAM with ECC
Differences are NOT Disqualifying

Market research found:

• No 4 TB **ruggedized** drives offered for sale to the general public, but
• Many 4 TB **non-ruggedized** drives are offered.

Takeaways: 4 TB size is **customarily available in the commercial marketplace**.
Supplier can assert **Definition 3(i)** for this product.

• The required technology -- 2 GB cache and 3rd Generation Intel Core i7 -- is 10 years old, but
• The older components are still stocked and sold to the general public.

Takeaways: Processor and memory are **minor modifications** to meet government requirements.
Supplier can assert **Definition 3(ii)** for this product.

Note that Definitions 3(i) and 3(ii) **both** apply here.
4. Provide evidence of commercial sales

Invoices are stronger if they’re not redacted.

“Follow the money” is a good way to think about who is the general public and who is not.

The “commercial” box you checked in your electronic sales record does not prove that the sale was commercial.

The best evidence: identify the product’s end use.
5. Demonstrate evidence of market research

MARKET RESEARCH is used to show, for example:
• Who buys this type of item, and what they do with it;
• The prices offered and paid by non-government buyers;
• Is competition strong enough to put downward pressure on prices?
• Are manufacturing advances making production cheaper?

The essence of a commercial item is that its price is set by competition in a healthy marketplace in which buyers have many choices.
Connect the Dots

A strong commercial item package shows a clear link between the product and its proposed price.

Someone who reads your package and learns about
• the product, and
• the marketplace
should be able to say,

“Yes, I think the proposed price is reasonable.”

If the dots don’t connect, then something is missing.
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Leadership Need for Speed

“We need to move faster!”
Dr. Griffin, USD(R&E)

“It’s all about velocity”
Ms. Lord, USD(A&S)

“Speed is our focus”
Dr. Roper, USAF SAE

“Focus on speed-to-field”
Mr. Shaffer, DUSD(A&S)
Conflicting Priorities

One team, one voice delivering global acquisition insight.
Trade Offs in Rapid Acquisition

**Speed/Price**
- Commercial acquisitions means a streamlined acquisition process
- Lessens the administrative burden for all parties
- Typically expect increased competition, expand supplier base, decrease sole source supplier use
- Utilize standard commercial T&C’s
- Reliance on companies inspection practices

**Risk**
- Exemption from submitting certified cost or pricing data -- No defective pricing liability
- Primarily rely only on price analysis to ascertain a fair and reasonable price
- Working with “new” suppliers, with minimal Government contracting experience
- Limits the Government to commercial standard T&C’s – no special treatment
- Government may waive inspection rights
Read, Just Read

- Speed of Relevance
- Reform & Promote Affordability
- Get out of our own way

- SAP for commercial, $7M
- Non Traditional Defense Contractors
- FAR39 IT - modular
### Figure 3: Distribution of National Defense Authorization Act Sections Related to DOD’s Acquisition of Commercial Items for Fiscal Years 2013 through 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year (FY)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One Section</strong></td>
<td>⬜️</td>
<td>⬜️</td>
<td>⬜️</td>
<td>⬜️</td>
<td>⬜️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIG’s Focus on Speed

**TODAY**

- **Warranted Contract Officers**
- **Current CIG Thresholds**
  - Commercial Only Cases: $1 M
  - Commercial and Pricing Cases: $1 M
  - Commercial and Pricing Subcontractor Cases: $2M
  - Pricing Only Cases: $2 M
    - CMOs can perform price analysis requests under $2M

**TOMORROW**

- **809 Panel**
- **Price Capability**
  - Should Cost/Should Price
  - Non-Traditional Defense Contractors
  - OTAs
- **Industry Requests**
- **Risk-Based Intake Approach**
• GAO-18-530: Improved Information Sharing Could Help DOD Determine Whether Items Are Commercial and Reasonably Priced

• Availability of marketplace information
• Ability to obtain contractor data
• Extent of modifications to an item
• Reliability of prior commercial determinations
• **Price Analysis**
  - The process of examining and evaluating a price without evaluating its separate cost elements and profit

• **Commercial Marketplace**
  - The **most effective method** of establishing price reasonableness for commercial items is price analysis based on established market prices

• **Price Analysis Challenges**
• **Price Adjustments**
  • May be needed to address material differences – differences that impact determination of price reasonableness
  • Between “of a type” items and “similar” items
    • Understand technical differences
  • Examples:
    • Terms and conditions
    • Quantities
    • Place of performance
    • Delivery schedule – expedited delivery
    • Packaging requirements
    • Testing
Price Analysis Techniques

- **Prices Obtained Through Market Research**
  - Market Price Analysis – obtain quotes for same or similar items, same or similar quantities
  - Published Price Analysis - lists or catalogue prices
  - Sales Data Analysis - for same or similar
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material P/N or NSN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sales Doc.</th>
<th>P.O.</th>
<th>Delivery Date</th>
<th>Order Quantity</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Document Date</th>
<th>End Use</th>
<th>Sales Doc. Type</th>
<th>Net value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-A</td>
<td>6784</td>
<td>12/10/2018</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$(18.38)</td>
<td>12/10/2018</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Return</td>
<td>$(404.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234-1</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-C</td>
<td>6786</td>
<td>2/19/2019</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$26.17</td>
<td>1/7/2019</td>
<td>Govt</td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td>$261.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>6787</td>
<td>3/9/2019</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$18.38</td>
<td>1/26/2019</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td>$7,352.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>6788</td>
<td>3/9/2019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/26/2019</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Warranty</td>
<td>$42,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-E</td>
<td>6789</td>
<td>6/1/2019</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$21.15</td>
<td>2/14/2019</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td>$12,260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-F</td>
<td>6790</td>
<td>6/15/2019</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$24.52</td>
<td>2/21/2019</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Return</td>
<td>$(1,057.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD1234-2</td>
<td>Widget</td>
<td>1-G</td>
<td>6791</td>
<td>6/19/2019</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$28.65</td>
<td>3/9/2019</td>
<td>FMS</td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td>$2,865.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Terms and Conditions should be standard for government or commercial if for the same part?
** Does the Government get the same warranty terms?
• Historical Price Analysis
• Parametric Estimating Methods
• Value Analysis
Questions?

Let’s Make a Difference
One team, one voice delivering global acquisition insight.

Presented By:
Ryan Connell
20 February 2020

This brief is not DCMA/DoD or USG guidance, or policy
This brief is not soliciting formal input on USG/DoD Policy or regulation
This brief is only for discussion points, information to promote dialogue
“Prior CIDs”

- Primes are not able to apply once commercial-always commercial logic to their subcontractor/purchases
  - Discussion:
    - Compliance
    - The Prime can rely on prior CIDS made by DoD (as worded in DFARS)
    - Can primes re-use the exact package?

DFARS 212.102 - The contracting officer may presume that a prior commercial item determination made by a military department, a defense agency, or another component of DoD shall serve as a determination for subsequent procurements of such item.

The Notion of “Once Commercial Always Commercial”
• Relying on prior contracts awarded using FAR part 12 commercial item procedures, in addition to prior CIDs, allows for broader application of ‘once commercial always commercial’ even when documentation is not available or missing
  • On November 27, the Department of Defense (DoD) published a proposed rule that adds this language:

  (iii) Prior commercial item determination or other use of FAR part 12 procedures. (A)(1) Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2306a(b)(4)(A), the contracting officer may presume that a prior commercial item determination made by a military department, a defense agency, or another component of DoD shall serve as a determination for subsequent procurements of such item.

  (2) Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2380(b), except as provided in paragraph (a)(iii)(B)(2) of this section, a contract for an item acquired using commercial item acquisition procedures under FAR part 12 shall serve as a prior commercial item determination, unless the item was acquired pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)(B) of this section.

• Discussion: what does this mean?
  • Does this mean Primes can rely on prior contracts with subs?
  • Does it mean the Government is required to rely on prior Prime/Sub decisions?
  • Are Prime/Sub contracts considered “FAR part 12”
• CIG has requirements which limit transparency in the decision making process
  • Commercial Item Database
    • DoD Officials Only (Industry won’t have visibility)
    • NDAA Language

• CIG Determinations
  • In Support of Buying Activity
  • Industry is only notified of “non-commercial” without understanding why

• Discussion: Ideas to be more transparent given the requirements
“Streamlined” Acquisition Process

- Commercial Acquisition is faster for the Government, but there is no data available to show it is faster or easier for Primes
  - FPDS-NG data links FAR part 12 commercial procedures to faster PALT timelines
- Discussion: What about commercial subcontractors
  - Is it Better/Faster/Smarter?
  - If not, what can we do to solve it?

“Buying commercial items is not hard, but documenting them has become so burdensome and fraught with risk, it discourages contractors from buying commercial items or treating commercial Items as such. There has to be a better approach.”

-Email sent to commercial inbox
• Relying on historic Commerciality Decisions is a 1-way Street
  • Non Commercial Determination
    • Overturning?
    • Discussion: Should the language go both ways?

OT* Overturning Process outlined in DFARS 212.102
• Nontraditional Defense Contractor language limits nontraditional subcontracto
  • DFARS 212.102 - Contracting officers may treat supplies and services provided by nontraditional defense contractors as commercial items.
  • DFARS-2016-0028 – Public Comment
    • Would Primes want that responsibility?
    • Do you think this is the correct interpretation?
    • Do you think this is in the best interest of DOD?
  • Discussion: Is this helping?


Comment: One respondent recommended elimination of the permissive nature of this authority. The respondent further recommended deletion of the language stating that the use of commercial item procedures under this authority does not mean the item is commercial, stating that this additional direction adds uncertainty for nontraditional contractors for renewal contracts and could adversely impact their initial decision to sell to DoD.

Additionally, two respondents recommended clarifying that “subcontractors” be added to the definition of nontraditional defense contractors so that items provided by a subcontractor that meet the definition of a “nontraditional defense contractor” may be treated as commercial items.

Response: Section 857 amended 10 U.S.C 2380a to provide DoD with the permissive authority to treat items and services provided by nontraditional defense contractors as commercial items. This authority was neither mandatory nor was it extended to prime contractor commercial item determinations for subcontracted items and services.
• Value & prioritization of CID systems without being requested by a procuring agency- outside of an acquisition
  • Strategic CID systems – SCIDs
  • Exploring the idea of making SCIDs outside of the acquisition cycle
  • Discussion: How do we manage which technologies, parts, companies to issue CID systems for?
    • “New Tech” vs “Legacy Parts”
• **Proposed rule helps reduce burden of CIDs & associated compliance for low value items**
  • On November 27, the Department of Defense (DoD) published a proposed rule that adds this language:

```
252.244-7000 Subcontracts for Commercial Items.

(c) The Contractor shall treat as commercial items any items valued at less than $10,000 per item that were purchased by the Contractor for use in the performance of multiple contracts with the Department of Defense and other parties and are not identifiable to any particular contract when purchased. The Contractor shall ensure that any such items to be used in performance of this contract meet all terms and conditions of this contract that are applicable to commercial items.
```

• **Discussion:** How often is this the case? Value add?