Summary of Changes: The change implements updates necessary to the Technical Support to Indirect Costs procedures; provide direction on tracking TSI requests; retaining documents, data, and information requirements necessary for the technical analysis of contractor Independent Research and Development (IR&D) proposals and Cost Estimating Relationships (CERS); and reviewing and approving TSI reports.

1. PURPOSE. This Annex:

   a. Supplements DCMA Instruction (DCMA-INST) 213, “Technical Pricing Support” (Reference (a)).

   b. Provides direction for performing Technical Support to Indirect Costs (TSI) activities with References (a) through (k).

   c. Is established in compliance with DoD Directive 5105.64 (Reference (b)).

2. APPLICABILITY. This Annex applies to all DCMA activities unless higher-level regulations, policy, guidance, or agreements take precedence (e.g., DCMA International and Special Programs activities).

3. MANAGERS’ INTERNAL CONTROL PROGRAM. In accordance with DCMA-INST 710, “Managers’ Internal Control Program” (Reference (c)), this Annex is subject to evaluation and testing. The process flowchart and key control table are located on this policies resource page at Appendix A.

4. RELEASEABILITY – UNLIMITED. This Annex is approved for public release.

5. PLAS CODE.


   b. Programs: ACAT/Other customers (when applicable).

   c. Other National; Training and Travel; Local Programs (when applicable).
5. **LABOR CODES.** Located on resource page.


7. **EFFECTIVE DATE.** By order of the Director, DCMA, this Change is effective upon release. CMOs must be policy compliant 30 days after policy release.

   ![Signature]

   Richard H. Fanney  
   Executive Director  
   Technical Directorate

**NOTE:** The Director approved this issuance on February 21, 2017, with direction to incorporate her comments prior to release. The effective release date is June 6, 2017.
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CHAPTER 1

OVERVIEW

1.1. OVERVIEW. This Annex serves as supplemental guidance to supplements DCMA-INST 213, “Technical Pricing Support” (Reference (a)) in the area of Technical Support to Indirect Costs (TSI). The intent of TSI is to:

1.1.1. Provide timely, high- and quality technical pricing support (TPS) in the area of indirect costs to the administrative contracting officer (ACO) or cost monitoring specialist (CMS) during the analysis of forward pricing rate proposal (FPRP) and cost monitoring efforts. TSI will follow the negotiated priority set by the ACO or CMS. The Agency’s intent is to assign priority support for the three major areas of indirect costs:

- Business Base
- Independent Research and Development
- Cost Estimating Relationships

1.1.2. Promptly acknowledge receipt of TSI requests.

1.1.3. Promptly conduct a preliminary review of the detailed TSI request to determine completeness with pertinent supporting data that justifies the contractor’s proposed rates. Notify coordinating the requestor, who may be the divisional administrative contracting officer (DACO)/corporate administrative contracting officer (CACO), or administrative contracting (ACO), cost monitoring specialist (CMS), procuring contract officer or Defense Contract Audit Agency, if the TSI package is incomplete. The assumption is that all TSI requests will pass through the ACO, even technical-only requests from outside agencies. Technical-only requests are understood to be requests for technical support of indirect costs only, and no additional contract management office (CMO) support is needed. (NOTE: ACO, as used in this Annex, refers to the CACO, DACO, or ACO. Also, the word requestor identifies the ACO and the CMS—In many cases, the request for technical analysis will come from the CMS as delegated by the ACO. In other cases, external request from the program office or the Defense Contract Audit Agency will provide TSI requests.)

1.1.4. Complete TSI analysis and provide a report to the requestor within the negotiated due date in order to minimize delay to procurement actions.

1.1.5. Support cost monitoring efforts, as requested.

1.2. PROTECTING CLASSIFIED AND CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION (CUI). Protecting information is critical and integral when conducting the processes associated with this Annex. DCMA personnel are responsible for protecting classified and controlled unclassified information (CUI) entrusted to them. DCMA personnel must take prudent steps to ensure final disposition of classified and CUI per DCMA and DoD policy. These procedures
vary based on the type, access, and nature of the material involved. Refer to the DCMA-INST 552, “Information Security Program” (Reference (1)), for guidance in the control, transmission, destruction, and storage of such material.

1.3. DELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES. The individuals holding the positions listed in Chapter 2 of this Annex may delegate their responsibilities unless expressly prohibited by this Annex, another DCMA Instruction, higher-level guidance, regulations, law, or statute. The individual delegated the responsibility does not have the authority to further delegate the responsibility.
CHAPTER 2

RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (CMO) COMMANDER/DIRECTOR. The CMO commander/director shall ensure compliance with this Annex.

2.2. CMO ENGINEERING AND ANALYSIS (E&A) DIRECTOR/TECHNICAL GROUP CHIEF/TECHNICAL LEAD (INTEGRATED COST ANALYSIS TEAM (ICAT) DIRECTOR AT ICAT CMOs). The CMO E&A Director/Technical Group Chief/Technical Lead (ICAT Director) shall designate a single TSI coordinator to manage all TSI requests. For CMOs with multiple programs that do not overlap use of resources, it is acceptable to assign a coordinator for each program.

2.2.1. Monitor quality and accuracy of data and products delivered.

2.2.2. Manage resources (e.g., technical personnel, workload) to meet critical functional taskings.

2.2.3. Designate a Technical Support to Indirect Costs (TSI) coordinator to manage TSI requests.

2.2.4. Maintain visibility of all technical pricing requests and trends. Establish and maintain a process for minimizing turnaround times for technical pricing reports to include the approach for identification and disposition of any systemic issues.

2.2.5. (EMGC only) Manage workforce development of CMO engineering and technical staff, ensure that authors and reviewers of Technical Pricing Reports are working toward and attain Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act (DAWIA) certification at the appropriate level in accordance with DCMA-INST 629 (Reference (p)), and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics Workforce Desk Guide (technical specialists providing matrix type support to the engineering organization, must have this function performed by their supervisor of record). ICAT Directors, have additional supervisory responsibilities as defined in paragraphs 2.5.6. and 2.5.7.

2.3. TSI COORDINATOR. The TSI coordinator is responsible for, and must not delegate the following (NOTE: work performed by personnel assigned “acting” positions, or higher level supervision, is not considered delegation):

2.3.1. Acknowledging TSI requests, using alternate methods, such as email, for documenting cases until such time that an eTool or another system of document control (safe system with built-in redundancy to prevent single point of failure loss) is provided. TSI requests received
directly from sources external to DCMA, or via the technical specialist, must be routed to the ACO for coordination.

2.3.2. Identifying necessary functional support for the completion of the TSI request, coordinating support with appropriate supervisors/leads, and convey the support and deadline requirements to the technical team.

2.3.3. In the event the deadline is not feasible, Recommending and negotiating a new suspense date with the requestor when deadlines are not feasible.

2.3.4. Ensuring the appropriate supervisor/lead reviews and approves all technical reports. Approval shall be completed prior to formal release of analysis.

2.3.5. Submitting the approved report to the requestor.

2.3.6. Document completion of TSI report using alternate methods, such as email, for documenting cases until such time that an eTool or another system of document control (safe system with built-in redundancy to prevent single point of failure loss) is provided. Log and track all TSI cases utilizing direction provided on the DCMA-INST 213, “Technical Pricing Support,” resource page.

2.4. TECHNICAL SPECIALIST. The technical specialist (e.g., engineer, industrial specialist, quality assurance representative) shall:

2.4.1. Review TSI package for completeness per the written detailed request from the requestor.

2.4.2. Complete analysis for the areas detailed in the TSI request. Coordinate with all functional areas as applicable (e.g., software, engineering, manufacturing and quality) to obtain technical inputs, to sufficiently respond to the request. Inputs from all technical disciplines must be consolidated into one comprehensive and cohesive report, ensuring consistency of recommendations between the technical areas, and that no conflicting information is provided in the report.

2.4.3. Maintain work papers, including electronic documents to support the analysis TSI requests, reports, and working documents supporting the analysis in accordance with the direction provided on the DCMA-INST 213 resource page.

2.4.4 Prepare and sign a well-documented TSI report that incorporates the analysis and technical recommendations. Signature must be physical or electronic.

2.4.5. Submit the signed final report to the TSI Coordinator. At CMOs where the TSI coordinator is not the technical supervisor or technical lead, the technical specialist must secure technical supervisor or technical lead review, approval, and signature, prior to submitting the report to the TSI coordinator.
2.4.6. Route all internal and external TSI requests through the TSI coordinator.

2.5. TECHNICAL SUPERVISOR OR TECHNICAL LEAD. The technical supervisor or technical lead is responsible for, and must not delegate the following (NOTE: work performed by personnel assigned “acting” positions, or higher level supervision, is not considered delegation):

2.5.1. Manage resources for pricing support (for technical supervisor only).

2.5.2. Manage the quality control of all technical pricing products.

2.5.3. Assign technical specialists to TSI cases in coordination with the TSI coordinator.

2.5.4. Review, approve, and sign (physically or electronically) the final TSI report.

2.5.5. Ensure that the technical specialist maintains records of Requests for Information, contractor data, and analysis data, and ensures that the records maintained are readable, retrievable, and accessible.

2.5.6. (Technical supervisor only) Ensure that all engineers and technical staff supporting technical pricing activities are DAWIA certified in their primary career field (Level II minimum) within 24 months of assignment to the agency. Ensure TPS education requirements, based on assigned Learning Maps in the Talent Management System (TMS), and any other assigned training requirements have been fulfilled, or that a satisfactory schedule for completion is in place.

2.5.7. (Technical supervisor only) Ensure that DCMA-INST 1206, “First Level Supervisor Review,” (Reference (q)) and the FLSR eTool (or an approved alternate) is used to schedule, conduct, and document reviews on assigned functional specialists. Supervisors must track, plan, execute, and monitor employee development (technical specialists providing matrix type support to the engineering organization, must have this function performed by their supervisor of record). Specifically, but not exclusively:

a. Verify that the individual has received policy specific functional training, DAWIA (category and level) certified (or on track).

b. Determine competency and identify competency gaps based on assigned Learning Maps in the TMS, and any other assigned training requirements.
CHAPTER 3

PROCEDURES

3.1. RECEIVE AND COORDINATE TSI REQUESTS. TSI requests should be received using alternate methods, such as email, for documenting cases until such time that an eTool or another system of document control (safe system with built-in redundancy to prevent single point of failure loss) is provided. The TSI coordinator shall promptly acknowledge requests. The TSI coordinator must track all TSI cases utilizing the direction provided on the DCMA-INST 213, resource page, see paragraph 2.3.6. of this Annex, and acknowledge and close all requests for technical support via written correspondence. The request should be detailed and precisely identify what aspects of the FPRP, or other areas of indirect costs, need to be evaluated. All TSI requests must be coordinated through the TSI coordinator. Process flowcharts and key control tables are posted on the resource page of this Annex.

3.1.1. The scope of the request shall be reviewed by the TSI coordinator. The TSI coordinator shall identify all functional areas necessary to complete the analysis and coordinate support with the appropriate leads/supervisors.

3.1.2. The TSI coordinator shall clearly specify the due date necessary to accommodate the technical evaluation and a supervisory/lead review and approval of the final report within the overall schedule.

3.1.3. If resources are not available or unable to support the requested timeline, the ACO or CMS will set the priority and an adjusted completion date will be negotiated between the TSI coordinator and the requestor.

3.2. PERFORM DOCUMENTATION CHECK FOR ADEQUACY AND COMPLETENESS.

3.2.1. The TSI package shall include the analysis request, a copy of the FPRP or special review documentation as part of a cost monitoring effort, and supporting documentation compiled and reviewed by the requestor. If pertinent documentation is missing, the technical specialist should request them from the requestor. The analysis request generally includes, but is not limited to:

- Requestor proposal review approach
- Review team members
- Analysis requirements and due dates
- Other pertinent information related to conducting the review

3.2.2. The technical specialist shall perform a review of the contractor data provided to support the rationale and estimates within the TSI request to determine allowability, reasonableness, and allocability per Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 31.201-2, 3, 4 (Reference (d)). This review should allow for adequate time to request
additional details from the contractor. Per local guidance, the technical specialist may request needed data during a fact-finding session with the contractor or via the locally approved process for requesting information, provided one exists.

3.2.1. When request for information RFIs and exchanges with the contractor are conducted, they should be coordinated with the requestor. Other evaluation team members should be invited, as appropriate. Following the meeting, ensure that any pertinent information provided by the contractor is documented in a memorandum of record or similar means. The resulting data/understanding should also be sent to the contractor representative to make sure there are no disagreements relative to what information was provided and/or to document what additional information needs to be provided.

3.2.2. When requesting information, local guidance should dictate the appropriate method/format, if applicable. Information exchanges and meeting minutes/action items shall must be documented and provided to the requestor and copied to the contractor for their awareness and to include in the permanent file.

3.2.3. A walk-through should be conducted for each contractor FPRP review. This review should be coordinated by the requestor and all proposal review team members. Refer to DCMA-INST 130, “Forward Price Rate Agreements” (Reference (e)), for additional information. The walk-through is a valuable method for gaining the necessary understanding of the contractor’s data. Efficient use of time and resources in necessary to ensure deadlines are met and engineering solutions provided.

3.3. CONDUCT TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF INDIRECT COSTS.

3.3.1. The objective of technical analysis of the indirect costs is to provide sufficient information and insight into a contractor’s proposed costs to assist the ACO to negotiate fair and reasonable rates, and for the execution of a timely forward price rate agreement and for the development of recommended rates.

3.3.2. The technical analysis of an FPRP shall must be consistent with the detailed request. All requested areas shall must be addressed in the analysis and subsequent report. Analysis and recommendations should be based on facts and quantitative data. The technical analysis will assess a wide range of costs, cost factors, and other associated information in support of an FPRP evaluation. Areas of review may be the contractor’s business base, independent research and development (IR&D) efforts, cost estimating relationships (CER), cost estimating systems or business systems (dependent upon contractual obligations), depreciation (capital equipment and facilities), proposed contractor cost reduction initiatives, and other areas identified by the requestor. These analyses and reviews span a number of cost considerations and cost elements and may include analysis of labor hours and labor categories provided within the allocation bases used to develop certain rates proposed within the FPRP, scrutinizing costs associated with proposed capital purchases and upgrades, assessing information technology (IT) requirements and costs, analyzing facility capacities for reasonableness and possible excess, and evaluating the contractor’s estimates of current and future workloads.
3.3.3. **Technical Analysis of Business Base.** Evaluate project schedules and the direct costs associated with those schedules to determine the reasonableness of the business base proposed, and review the scope of work included in the business base for applicability to the related cost pool. Determine if the business base accurately reflects the projected costs for the forecasted level of direct work proposed for each of the projects included under the base, as measured in labor dollars, labor hours, materials, or other direct costs by program by year. The business base forecast contains each of the allocation bases used for all of the indirect rates found in the contractor’s FPRP.

3.3.4. **Technical Analysis of Independent Research and Development and Bid and Proposal (IR&D/B&P).** Determine that IR&D costs proposed are of potential interest to DoD, allowable as set forth in the FAR 31.205-18 and Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 231.205-18 (References (f) and (g)) and not allocable to another cost category. B&P costs may also be considered in the overall allowability analysis as identified in DFARS 231.205-18 (Reference (g)).

3.3.4.1. For contractors with a large number of IR&D projects, consider a sample of IR&D projects to be selected based on the dollar value of the projects, and the level of cost risk the projects present to the Government. Specific projects may also be selected as part of the review by the requestor.

3.3.4.2. Review the project scope to ensure proper classification of the effort as qualifying IR&D. Ensure the project effort does not include required tasks already being completed for another contract.

3.3.4.3. Cost reasonableness of forecast IR&D costs can be evaluated based on:

- Forecast business volume
- Nature and size of the programs that the contractor has included in its projected sales base
- Relevance of the proposed IR&D costs to projected Government programs
- Likelihood of those programs continuing at the levels forecast

3.3.4.4. Refer to the Guidance section of this Annex’s resource page for a listing of contractor IR&D data and information that may be requested in addition to the data and information received in the original request package (reference paragraph 3.2.1.), to enable the technical review.

3.3.5. **Technical Analysis of Cost Estimating Relationships (CER).** The objective of performing technical analysis on a CER is to ensure that the CER is valid and appropriate for the cost the CER is being used to estimate.

3.3.5.1. Determine if the CER demonstrates:
Logical relationship between the cost being estimated and the independent variable
- Data being used is verifiable
- CER projects costs with reasonable degree of accuracy

3.3.6. Evaluating Contractor’s Cost Estimating System (CES). The objective of performing a technical analysis of a contractor’s CES is to determine a contractor’s ability to consistently produce well supported proposals that are acceptable as a basis for the negotiation of fair and reasonable prices. Using an acceptable estimating system is a key to consistently preparing quality estimates which are both accurate and reliable. The Government and contractor both benefit from a contractor having an acceptable estimating system.

3.3.6.1. The technical specialist’s main task is to report any contractor estimating issues found during the normal course of business or while participating in a formal cost estimating system review. This should be accomplished by using the following techniques with respect to the technical evaluation of labor, material, and other direct costs:

3.3.6.1.1. Review and determine if the contractor’s cost estimating policies and procedures are reasonable and sound.

3.3.6.1.2. Compare past estimates with actual data to determine the accuracy of the contractor’s estimating methods.

3.3.6.1.3. Review proposals and basis of estimate to determine if the contractor is consistently applying estimating methods and techniques.

3.3.7. Technical Analysis of Proposed Contractor Cost Reduction Initiative. This analysis is to determine if the contractor’s cost reduction initiatives are appropriate to meet the criteria identified in DFARS 215.404-71-5 (Reference (h)) and to assess whether a contractor’s estimate of the cost saving projections from the future implementation are reasonable. During the cost monitoring process (see paragraph 3.4 of this document), the technical specialist shall determine if, after a cost reduction initiative has been implemented, the savings have been achieved. As part of an FPRP evaluation, the technical specialist will evaluate the contractor’s cost reduction initiative justification to analyze its baseline for accuracy and provide technical recommendation of acceptableness.

3.3.8. Technical Analysis of Facility Costs. A technical analysis or evaluation of facility costs may include idle facilities, idle capacity, plant rearrangement, rental costs, depreciation (capital equipment and facilities), information technologies, and any other requests for a technical analysis of indirect costs from the requestor. When specific facilities or equipment are not identified by the ACO, statistical sampling techniques may be used to validate status. Document the methodology of analysis in the TSI report.

3.3.8.1. Indirect costs related to idle facilities and idle capacity can be found in FAR 31.205-17 (Reference (i)). The technical specialist shall identify the status and use of the
facilities identified by the requestor.

3.3.8.2. Plant rearrangement costs are discussed in FAR 31.205-25 (Reference (j)). The technical specialist shall must review the proposed and/or verify the existing change is as described by the contractor.

3.3.8.3. A technical evaluation of a contractor’s asset depreciation (capital equipment and facilities) shall must be conducted to ensure the depreciated tangible and intangible assets are credible and relevant. Asset inventory lists should be checked to validate the status of the asset and to ensure that asset retirements have been properly accounted. The technical specialist shall must review milestone schedules and project progress. When depreciation expense is tied to a particular project, evaluate the project’s status and the percentage of work completed on the project. A capital improvement plan asset or capital work in progress asset which is not completed may not be depreciated until the asset is placed in service.

3.3.8.4. IT shall must be reviewed to determine reasonableness for DoD usage. Areas to focus are:

- Need for proposed projects
- Purchases
- Level of current IT needs

3.4. PROVIDE TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO COST MONITORING EFFORTS. The technical specialist serves as a key member of the cost monitoring team (CMT). The technical specialist’s primarily reviews and evaluates any areas identified by the CMS where technical expertise is required to determine the effectiveness, necessity, or efficiency of the contractor’s operations. To be an effective member of the CMT, the technical specialist shall must work with the CMS to understand what is required of them during the cost monitoring effort and to establish a comprehensive understanding of the contractor’s organizational structure, history of sales, system of budgeting, collecting, and assigning costs. Where time and manpower constraints exist, analysis should be limited to areas where technical review focuses on cost and risk, and areas yielding the most productive results. See the DCMA-INST-123, “Cost Monitoring” (Reference (k)) for additional guidance. All cost monitoring efforts requiring technical support will be requested via the TSI request method.

3.5. PREPARE TSI REPORT.

3.5.1. The technical specialist shall must prepare a well-documented TSI report incorporating the findings and recommendations of all FPRP and cost monitoring areas reviewed, to include recommendations and supporting analysis from all functional areas (e.g., engineering, manufacturing, software, and quality) as required by the request. The technical specialist must identify all documentation used in the analysis in the report narrative.

3.5.1.1. The technical specialist shall must follow local policy and guidance on report format, if applicable; however, the report shall must be a standalone document by reiterating
the proposed information, followed by the specialist’s recommended government position, and the basis of analysis leading to that position. When stating the supporting analysis, be mindful the audience using the report is likely non-technical. The detailed work documents papers, including electronic documents, may be in any format and shall must be retained in the official file.

3.5.1.2: The appropriate technical supervisor/lead shall review, approve, and sign the TSI report prior to release to the requestor. All reports must be signed by the technical specialist and reviewed, approved, and signed (physically or electronically) by the technical supervisor or technical lead. Review, approval, and signature of the supervisor/lead signifies that the report’s contents meet all of this policy’s requirements and all the requirements of the technical pricing support request. The following statement must accompany the supervisor’s signature on each TPS report:

“By signing this report, I agree that the report's contents reflect sound technical judgment and satisfy all Technical Pricing Support policy requirements and all requirements of the technical pricing support request”.

If the technical supervisor, technical lead, or a higher-level individual prepares the report, the technical-analysis case file review and the second signature on the TSI report must be at least one level above the preparer. Review and approval may be coordinated by the TSI coordinator.

3.5.1.3. If the initial due date is revised or the report is submitted after the due date, the individual responsible for the report shall must document the reasons for revising or missing the due date in the official file.

3.5.2. All technical reports and other pricing relevant documents containing Government or contractor proprietary data, shall must be properly marked and/or protected as required by law and regulation. When disclosure to the public of a particular record, or portion thereof, would reasonably be expected to cause a foreseeable harm to an interest protected by one or more provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), a protective marking “For Official Use Only” or at a higher security level if mandated by the contract or solicitation should be applied to such record. Such protective marking should be applied to the title page, the first page, the outside of the back cover (if there is one) and each internal page of the pertinent documents and material, including information in electronic form. Add “Source Selection Information,” if applicable, per FAR 3.104-4 (Reference (n)) and DCMA-INST 552, “Information Security Program” (Reference (1)) for additional instruction.

3.5.3. The TSI report and any supporting documentation shall must be sent to the ACO. Feedback on the adequacy and value of the report should be requested. If sending the TSI report completes the TPS case, the case shall must be annotated by the TSI coordinator in the locally approved method for documenting cases until such time that an eTool or another system of document control (safe system with built-in redundancy to prevent single point of failure loss) is provided.
3.6. **PROVIDE NEGOTIATION SUPPORT.** When requested, the technical specialist must support negotiations. Ad hoc support may be requested of the technical specialist who completed the analysis. Time consuming or more extensive negotiation support requests that would impact workload should be routed the same as a TSI request; using alternate methods, such as email, for documenting cases until such time that an eTool or another system of document control (safe system with built-in redundancy to prevent single point of failure loss) is provided.

3.7. **ENGINEERING RECORDS MAINTENANCE.** The technical specialist must maintain records of all TSI requests, reports, and working documents in accordance with direction provided on the DCMA-INST 213 resource page. Related documents are, DCMA Memorandum #13-202, “EDW Document Clean-up and ‘Old’ Documents Purge” (Reference (n)), and DCMA Memorandum #15-057, “Integrated Workload Management System (IWMS) Agency Deployment” (Reference (o)).
APPENDIX A

TSI Process Flow
(Moved to resource page of this Annex)

The TSI process flowchart is displayed below to illustrate the roles, responsibilities, and process for TSI. A larger version of this flowchart is provided on the resource page for this instruction and can be downloaded from there.
# ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACO</td>
<td>administrative contracting officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B&amp;P</td>
<td>bid and proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACO</td>
<td>corporate administrative contracting officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CER</td>
<td>cost estimating relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES</td>
<td>Cost Estimating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>contract management office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>cost monitoring specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT</td>
<td>cost monitoring team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>component of primary responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACO</td>
<td>divisional administrative contracting officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCMA-INST</td>
<td>DCMA instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFARS</td>
<td>Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;A</td>
<td>Engineering and Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDW</td>
<td><em>Electronic Document Workflow</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPRP</td>
<td>forward pricing rate proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAT</td>
<td>integrated cost analysis team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWMS</td>
<td><em>Integrated Workload Management System</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR&amp;D</td>
<td>independent research &amp; development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>information technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAS</td>
<td>performance labor accounting system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFI</td>
<td>request for information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSI</td>
<td>technical support to indirect costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td><em>Talent Management System</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>technical pricing support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>